



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/063181 5-14-93 Paulson 1413755

EXAMINER
----------

FONDA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1803 12

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin Bastian, applicant's rep. (3)  
(2) K.R. Fonda, examiner (4)

Date of interview 11-13-95

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all of record

Identification of prior art discussed: -

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Bastian presented

arguments as to why the proposed count was not appropriate, and offered proposed counts. The examiner suggested that a request for reconsideration and the proposed interference communication under rule 1.607 be formally submitted. Mr. Bastian agreed to do so by fax, and the examiner agreed to consider the proposals as soon as possible. Mr. Bastian noted the data on p. 73 which he stated (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) showed the unobviousness of the penta + hexa species over the tetra (sle<sup>x</sup>).  
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Kathleen Fonda  
Examiner's Signature